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#### Abstract

The correlation between the fast cage dynamics and structural relaxation is investigated in a model polymer system. It is shown that the cage vibration amplitude, as expressed by the Debye-Waller factor, and the relaxation time $\tau_{\alpha}$ collapse on a single universal curve with a simple analytic form when the temperature, the density, the chain length and the monomer-monomer interaction potential are changed. For the physical states with the same $\tau_{\alpha}$ the coincidence of the mean-square displacement, the intermediate scattering function and the non-Gaussian parameter is observed in a wide time window spanning from the ballistic regime to the onset of the Rouse dynamics driven by the chain connectivity. The role of the non-Gaussian effects is discussed.
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## 1. Introduction

There is growing interest in the relation between the fast vibrational dynamics and the long-time structural relaxation occurring in viscous systems and supercooled liquids close to their glass transition [1-20]. This resulted in studies of the vibrational dynamics of both glasses [6,13-17] and fluid systems [9,12,20].

Recently, the universal correlation between the amplitude of the caged dynamics, as expressed by the Debye-Waller (DW) factor, and the structural relaxation time $\tau_{\alpha}$ has been evidenced by simulations and experiments on several physical systems including molecular liquids, polymers and metallic alloys in a wide range of fragility [20]. It was found that the shape of the related scaling function is also controlled by the non-Gaussianity of the kinetic unit displacement [20]. The present paper presents new numerical results to provides further insight into the actual weight of non-Gaussian effects.

## 2. Theory

The glass transition has been pictured as the freezing of a liquid in an Aperiodic Crystal Structure (ACS) where the viscous flow is due to activated jumps over energy barriers $\Delta E \propto k_{B} T a^{2} /\left\langle u^{2}\right\rangle$ where $a$ is the displacement to overcome the barrier and $\left\langle u^{2}\right\rangle$ is the DW

[^1]factor of the liquid, i.e. the amplitude of the rattling motion within the cage of the nearest neighbours atoms [3]. The ACS picture leads to the Hall-Wolynes (HW) equation, $\tau_{\alpha}$, $\eta \propto \exp \left(a^{2} / 2\left\langle u^{2}\right\rangle\right)$, a relation which has been derived by others too [5,12,21]. The HW equation relies on the condition that $\tau_{\alpha}$ exceeds the vibrational time scales. When the HW equation is compared with the experiments, one notes strong deviations from the predicted linear dependence between $\log \tau_{\alpha}$ and $1 /\left\langle u^{2}\right\rangle$ [12]. To generalize the HW equation, one considers a distribution $p\left(a^{2}\right)$ of the square displacement to overcome the energy barriers [20]. Following the central limit theorem, the Gaussian form is adopted, $p\left(a^{2}\right)=$ $\mathcal{N} \cdot \exp \left[-\left(a^{2}-\overline{a^{2}}\right)^{2} / 2 \sigma_{a^{2}}^{2}\right]$ where $\mathcal{N}$ is the normalization constant, $\overline{a^{2}}$ and $\sigma_{a^{2}}$ are the average and the standard deviation respectively. Note that, since $\Delta E \propto a^{2}$, this choice corresponds to a Gaussian distribution of energy barriers. The distribution is taken to be independent of the state parameters because the average displacement of the kinetic unit within $\tau_{\alpha}$ is weakly dependent on $\tau_{\alpha}$ [22]. In contrast, the DW factor depends on the state parameters $[7,8]$. If one averages the HW expression over $p\left(a^{2}\right)$, one obtains the following generalized HW expression (GHW):
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{\alpha}=\tau_{0} \exp \left(\frac{\overline{a^{2}}}{2\left\langle u^{2}\right\rangle}+\frac{\sigma_{a^{2}}^{2}}{8\left\langle u^{2}\right\rangle^{2}}\right) . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

Equation (1) neglects the very weak DW-dependence of $\tau_{0}$. If the linear temperature dependence of the DW factor is assumed, GHW reduces to other results reported for supercooled liquids [23], polymers [24] or models of glassy relaxation [25,26].

## 3. Model

A coarse-grained model of a linear freely-jointed polymer is used. Non-bonded monomers interact via a generalized Lennard-Jones pair potential $U_{p, q}(r)$ with $U_{p, q}(r)=\epsilon(q-p)^{-1} \times$ $\left[p\left(\sigma^{*} / r\right)^{q}-q\left(\sigma^{*} / r\right)^{p}\right]+U_{\text {cut }}$ with $\sigma^{*}=2^{1 / 6} \sigma$. The parameters $p$ and $q$ control the stiffness of the attractive and the repulsive part, respectively. All quantities are in reduced units: length in units of $\sigma$, temperature in units of $\epsilon / k_{B}$, and time in units of $\sigma \sqrt{m / \epsilon}$, where $m$ and $k_{B}$ are the monomer mass and the Boltzmann constant, respectively. The energy unit is given by the depth of the potential well $\epsilon$. We also set $m=k_{B}=1$. The potential is cut and shifted to zero by $U_{\text {cut }}$ at $r=2.5$. The potential $U_{p, q}(r)$ reduces to the usual Lennard-Jones (LJ) potential by setting $p=6, q=12$. Bonded monomers interact with a potential which is the sum of the FENE (Finitely Extendible Nonlinear Elastic) potential and the LJ potential (see [20] for further details). This results in a bond length $b=0.97$. Samples with $\mathrm{N} \simeq 2000$ monomers were used. Equilibration runs were performed in isothermal-isobaric (NPT) or canonical (NTV) ensembles. Data were collected under microcanonical conditions by integrating the equations of motion with a reversible multiple time steps algorithm; i.e. the r-RESPA algorithm [27]. Physical states with different values of the temperature $T$, the density $\rho$, the chain length $M$ and the monomer-monomer interaction potential $U_{p, q}(r)$ were studied. See [20] for further details.

## 4. Results and discussion

In this section the translational dynamics and the relaxation of the monomeric unit are studied. Changing the state parameters $(M, \rho, T, q, p)$ results in changes of both the DW
factor and the relaxation time $\tau_{\alpha}$. It was found that, when different physical states have the same relaxation time, both their translational dynamics, as expressed by the mean square displacement (MSD), and their relaxation, as expressed by the self part of the intermediate scattering function (ISF), are coincident from the ballistic regime up to the onset of the connectivity effects (Rouse regime) at times rather longer than $\tau_{\alpha}$ [20]. The resulting clusters of curves are shown in Figure 1 for both $\operatorname{MSD}\left(\left\langle r^{2}(t)\right\rangle=N^{-1} \sum_{1}^{N}\left\langle\left(\mathbf{r}_{i}(t)-\mathbf{r}_{i}(0)\right)^{2}\right\rangle\right)$ and $\operatorname{ISF}\left(F_{s}\left(q_{\max }, t\right)=N^{-1} \sum_{1}^{N}\left\langle\exp \left[1 \mathbf{q}_{\max }\left(\mathbf{r}_{i}(t)-\mathbf{r}_{i}(0)\right)\right]\right\rangle, q_{\text {max }}\right.$ refers to the maximum of the static structure factor).

Figure 1 also shows the definition of $\tau_{\alpha}$ via the equation $F_{s}\left(q_{\max }, \tau_{\alpha}\right)=1 / e$. The existence of clusters of physical states with similar dynamics over the wide time



Figure 1. (a) MSD time-dependence in the selected cases ( $M, \rho, T, q, p$ ): set $\mathrm{A}[(2,1.086,0.7,7,6)$, $(3,1.086,0.7,7,6),(10,1.086,0.7,7,6),(10,1.033,0.7,8,6)]$, set $\mathrm{B}[(2,1.033,0.6,10,6),(3,1.039$, $0.7,11,6),(3,1.041,0.7,11,6)]$, set C $[(2,1.033,0.5,10,6),(3,1.056,0.7,12,6),(5,1.033,0.6,12,6)$, $(10,1.056,0.7,12,6)]$, set D $[(3,1.086,0.7,12,6),(5,1.086,0.7,12,6),(10,1.086,0.7,12,6)]$ and set E [(2, 1.0, $0.7,12,11)]$. The MSDs are multiplied by indicated factors. (b) Corresponding ISF curves. Four sets of clustered curves (A through D) show that, if states have equal $\tau_{\alpha}$ (marked with a star on each curve), the MSD and ISF curves coincide from times rather longer than $\tau_{\alpha}$ down to the crossover to the ballistic regime at least. Adapted from [20].


Figure 2. Time-dependence of the the non-Gaussian parameter (NGF) for the same states listed in caption of Figure 1. The stars denote the time $t=\tau_{\alpha}$. The plot shows that for states with equal $\tau_{\alpha}$ not only MSD and ISF coincide between $t^{*}$ and $\tau_{\alpha}$ (see Figure 1) but also NGF does the same within the statistical uncertainty. The inset shows the increase of the maximum of NGF with $\tau_{\alpha}$. The dashed line is a parabolic guide for the eyes.
range from the vibrational regime to the long-time relaxation suggests that the latter are correlated. To investigate this issue, it was noted that the location of the inflection point of the MSD does not depend on the state point; i.e. it always occurs at the same time $t=t^{*}$ in the $\log -\log$ plot of MSD [20]. This gives the opportunity of a clear-cut definition of the DW factor as $\left\langle u^{2}\right\rangle \equiv\left\langle r^{2}\left(t^{*}\right)\right\rangle$. The plot of $\tau_{\alpha}$ vs $\left\langle u^{2}\right\rangle$ leads to a master curve for the numerical results well described by the GHW Equation (1) which fits the experimental results over about eighteen orders of magnitude [20].

We investigated if, in addition to MSD and ISF, other quantities exhibit identical time-dependence when evaluated for the clusters of states with identical $\tau_{\alpha}$ values shown in Figure 1. The results for the non-Gaussian parameter (NGF) $\alpha_{2}(t)=\left(3\left\langle r^{4}(t)\right\rangle / 5\left\langle r^{2}(t)\right\rangle^{2}\right)-1$, which quantifies the dynamical heterogeneity of the system at a given time $t$ [28], are shown in Figure 2.

NGF vanishes if the monomer displacement is a Gaussian process. Figure 2 shows that NGF increases between the end of the ballistic regime $(t \sim 0.1)$ and roughly $\tau_{\alpha}$. In principle, there are no reasons to infer from ISF and MSD any particular behaviors of other quantities depending by moments of displacement distribution, e.g. such as NGF. Despite this we account that physical states with coincident MSD and ISF (see Figure 1) have equal NGF up to about $\tau_{\alpha}$ too. For longer times, the NGF of physical states with equal $\tau_{\alpha}$ but different chain length differ also due to the onset of connectivity effects (Rouse regime) [29,30].

It has already been shown that the magnitude of the non-Gaussian parameter is related to the curvature of the GHW Equation (1) when the plot $\log \tau_{\alpha}$ vs $\left\langle u^{2}\right\rangle^{-1}$ is considered [20]. Indeed, the ratio of the quadratic and the linear terms of Equation (1) with respect to $\left\langle u^{2}\right\rangle^{-1}, \mathcal{R} \equiv \sigma_{a^{2}}^{2} /\left(4 \overline{a^{2}}\left\langle u^{2}\right\rangle\right)$ increases with the height of NGF $\alpha_{2 \max }$ and, if the latter vanishes, $\mathcal{R}$ does the same [20]. The inset of Figure 2 shows the increase of $\alpha_{2 \max }$ by


Figure 3. Comparison between the numerical ISF (continuous lines) of Figure 1 and the first correction to the Gaussian approximation $\bar{F}_{s}\left(q_{\max }, t\right)$ (dashed lines). The inset shows the relative error between $\tau_{\alpha}$ and the estimate $\bar{\tau}_{\alpha}$, as drawn from $\bar{F}_{s}\left(q_{\max }, \bar{\tau}_{\alpha}\right)=1 / e$. Selected cases $(M, \rho, T, q, p)$ : set A $[(2,1.086,0.7,7,6)]$, set B $[(2,1.033,0.6,10,6)]$, set C $[(2,1.033,0.5,10,6)]$, set D $[(2,1.033$, $0.5,10,6),(3,1.056,0.7,12,6),(5,1.033,0.6,12,6),(10,1.056,0.7,12,6)]$, set $\mathrm{D}[(3,1.086,0.7,12,6)]$ and set $\mathrm{E}[(2,1.0,0.7,12,11)]$.
increasing $\tau_{\alpha}$. If the non-Gaussian effects are missing, ISF reduces to $F_{s}^{g}\left(q_{\max }, t\right)=$ $\exp \left(-(1 / 6) q_{\max }^{2}\left\langle r^{2}\right\rangle\right)$. The first correction to $F_{s}^{g}(q, t)$ due to the non-Gaussian effects depends on NGF and reads [31]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{F}_{s}(q, t)=\exp \left(-\frac{1}{6} q^{2}\left\langle r^{2}\right\rangle\right)\left[1+\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{2}(t)\left(\frac{1}{6} q^{2}\left\langle r^{2}\right\rangle\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(\left(\frac{1}{6} q^{2}\left\langle r^{2}\right\rangle\right)^{2}\right)\right] . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Figure 3 compares the numerical results for ISF with the approximation $\bar{F}_{s}\left(q_{\max }, t\right)$. It is seen that, when $\tau_{\alpha} \gtrsim 10^{2}, \bar{F}_{s}\left(q_{\max }, t\right)$ poorly approximates the numerical results, thus showing that the non-Gaussian effects on the relaxation are not accounted for by the first correction to $F_{s}^{g}\left(q_{\max }, t\right)$; i.e. they are not small. Thanks to Figure 3, we can state that nonGaussian terms became critically determinant to describe structural relaxation approaching GT, in particular high order moments of displacement distribution increase. However, in spite of the large deviations of $\bar{F}_{s}\left(q_{\max }, t\right)$ from the exact results, the inset of Figure 3 shows that the relative error between $\tau_{\alpha}$ and the approximated estimate $\bar{\tau}_{\alpha}$ (to be defined by the equation $\left.\bar{F}_{s}\left(q_{\max }, \bar{\tau}_{\alpha}\right)=1 / e\right)$ is reasonable.

## 5. Conclusions

The correlations between the fast dynamics of the monomers within the cage of the first neighbours and the long-time structural relaxation are studied. It is shown that physical states with equal $\tau_{\alpha}$ exhibit coincident MSD, ISF and NGF from the ballistic regime up to the onset of the connectivity effects (Rouse regime) at times rather longer than $\tau_{\alpha}$. The first correction to the Gaussian approximation of ISF disagrees from the numerical results for $\tau_{\alpha} \gtrsim 10^{2}$ because high orders moments of displacement distribution critically increase.

However, the relative error between $\tau_{\alpha}$ and the approximated estimate $\bar{\tau}_{\alpha}$ stays within reasonable bounds.
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